AUG 08 2011

Dept. 307

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

2122

23

2425

26

27

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

THERON COOPER and ALICE TRAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC., a California corporation,

Defendant.

NO. BC448670

PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSED]
ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT

Complaint Filed: November 1, 2010

CLASS ACTION

Judge: Hon. William F. Highberger

Department: 307

Date:

Friday, September 16, 2011

Time: 11:00 a.m.

THIS MATTER came before the Court for final approval of the proposed class settlement. The Court has considered all papers filed and proceedings in this matter and held a hearing on September 16, 2011, at which time the parties and all other interested persons were afforded the opportunity to be heard in support of and in opposition to the proposed settlement. Based on the papers filed with the Court and presentations made to the Court at the hearing, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

1. The definitions and provisions of the Settlement Agreement are hereby incorporated as though fully set forth herein. For purposes of this Order and Final Judgment, all capitalized terms used hereafter shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Agreement, unless otherwise noted.

PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 1

- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Settlement Agreement with respect to and over all Parties to the Settlement Agreement, including all Settlement Class Members.
- 3. The Court hereby approves the Settlement and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate to the Settlement Class Members, within the authority of the parties, and the result of extensive, arm's length negotiations.
- 4. The Court hereby grants Class Counsel's request for an award of \$430,000 in out-of-pocket costs and attorneys' fees. The fee and costs award, which is in addition to and in no way diminishes the relief provided to the class, is reasonable under the lodestar method preferred by the California courts. *See In re Consumer Privacy Cases*, *supra*, (2009) 175 Cal.App.4th 545, 556–57, 96 Cal.Rptr.3d 127. The Court finds that the time Class Counsel devoted to this case is reasonable. The Court further finds that Class Counsel's rates are reasonable.
- 5. The Incentive awards to Plaintiffs Theron Cooper and Alice Tran are hereby approved in the amount of \$1,500 each, to be paid in accordance with the Agreement. The Court finds such incentive awards to be fair and reasonable in light of the time and effort devoted by Plaintiffs to the prosecution of this litigation on behalf of the Class.
- 6. The Court has considered all timely-filed objections to the Settlement, including the following objections:

Objector's Name	Date of Objection
Timothy Salazar,	June 30, 2011
Martha Westfall	July 8, 2011
Jill Colosky	July 22, 2011
Katherine Warren	July 7, 2011
Brandi Williams	July 5, 2011
Karen Cole	July 19, 2011
Jeremy Benjamin Robb	July 26, 2011
Miriam Pascual Hernandez	July 20, 2011
Deborah Craig	July 22, 2011
F.J. Carney	July 2, 2011
Thomas F. Whalen	July 14, 2011

1			July 10, 2011 July 6, 2011	
2	Phillis D. Hooks		July 11, 2011	
3	Donald H. Petitmermet Paul Martin		July 7, 2011 July 6, 2011	
	Sharon B.		July 3, 2011	
4	Scott Peterson		June 27, 2011	
5	John Macha July 22, 2011 Kathryn Price June 27, 2011		July 22, 2011 June 27, 2011	
6	Diana Martin August 25, 2011 [sic]			
7	The Court finds these objections do not counsel against Settlement approval, and they are			
8	hereby overruled.			
9			of Civil Procedure 382 and Civil Code § 1781, the	
10	Court certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following Settlement Class:			
11	Ric	co, U.S. Virgin Island Gua	ates, Commonwealth of Puerto m or Saipan who currently own or	
12	lea ;,,	se, or previously owned or	r leased an Affected Vehicle, which 009 Civic 2-Doors from VIN	
13	2H	GFG19H500001 throug	gh 2HGFG19H523805; 2009	
14	Civ	vic 4-Doors from VIN 19X	FA19E000061 through	
14	19. 2H	XFA19E007094, trom \ GFA16 9H339069 from	VIN 2HGFA169H30001 through m VIN 2HGFA169H500001	
15	thr	ough 2HGFA169H5115	509, from VIN 1HGFA1	
16	. 9L	000008 through 1HGFA1.	9L025282, from VIN th JHMFA19S200060; 2009 Civic	
17	JH Si	2-Doors from VIN 2HGF	G29H700001 through	
18	2H	[GFG29H702924; 2009	Civic Si 4-Doors from VIN	
10		[GFA59H700001 throug vic GXs from VIN 1HGFA	gh 2HGFA59H704687; 2009	
19	1H	[GFA49H001442; all 20	006-08 Civic Hybrids; 2009 Civic	
20	Ну	brids from VIN JHMFA3	9S000002 through	
21	JH 	MFA39S009285.		
22			this action with prejudice as to all Settlement Class	
23	Members except those who have timely and properly excluded themselves from the Settlement			
24	Class. Exhibit A, attached hereto, sets forth the names of those individuals who have timely			
25	and properly excl	uded themselves from the	Settlement Class.	
26	-		becomes final, Plaintiffs and Settlement Class	
27	Members hereby release Defendant from any and all claims or causes of action that were, or			
	PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 3			

could have been, asserted by them, regarding the Class Vehicle's Sun Visors.

- 10. Without limiting the foregoing, the released claims specifically extend to claims that Settlement Class Members do not know or suspect to exist in their favor at the time that the Settlement, and the releases contained therein, becomes effective. The Court finds that Plaintiffs have, and the Settlement Class Members are deemed to have, knowingly waived California Civil Code section 1542 and any other applicable federal or state statute, case law, rule or regulation relating to limitations on releases.
- 11. The Court finds that the program of Class Notice set forth in the Agreement and preliminarily approved by the Court was the best practicable notice under the circumstances. The Class Notice provided due and adequate notice of these proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the Settlement Agreement, to all parties entitled to such notice and satisfied the requirements of constitutional due process. The Court specifically finds that this notice complies with the requirements of *In re Mercury Interactive Corp. Sec. Litig.*, 618 F.3d 988, 994 (9th Cir. 2010).
- 12. Without affecting the finality of this Settlement Order and Final Judgment in any way, the Court retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of the Settlement Agreement and distribution of the settlement relief contemplated by the Settlement Agreement, until all acts agreed to be performed pursuant to the Settlement Agreement have been performed; and (b) all parties to this action and Settlement Class Members for the purpose of enforcing and administering the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. Neither this Order and Final Judgment nor the Settlement Agreement constitutes an admission or concession by any of the released parties of any fault, omission, liability or wrongdoing. This Order and Final Judgment is not a finding of the validity or invalidity of any claims in this action or a determination of any wrongdoing by the defendant. The final approval of the Settlement Agreement does not constitute any opinion, position or determination of this Court, one way or the other, as to the merits of the claims and defenses of

1 Plaintiffs, Honda or the Settlement Class Members. In the event that the Settlement Agreement does not become effective in 2 14. accordance with its terms, then this Order and Final Judgment shall be vacated, the Settlement 3 Class shall be decertified and the Settlement Agreement and all orders entered in connection 4 therewith shall become null and void and of no further force and effect. 5 6 IT IS SO ORDERED. 7 Dated: , 2011. 8 THE HON, WILLIAM F. HIGHBERGER 10 11 Presented by: 12 TERRELL MARSHALL DAUDT & WILLIE PLLC 13 14 15 16 By: Beth E. Terrell, CSB 178181 17 Email: bterrell@tmdwlaw.com Jennifer Rust Murray, Admitted Pro Hac Vice 18 Email: jmurray@tmdwlaw.com 936 North 34th Street, Suite 400 19 Seattle, Washington 98103-8869 Telephone: (206) 816-6603 20 Facsimile: (206) 350-3528 21 Steven N. Berk, Admitted Pro Hac Vice 22 Email: steven@berklawdc.com BERK LAW PLLC 23 2002 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 100 Washington, DC 20036 24 Telephone: (202) 232-7550 25 Facsimile: (202) 232-7556 26 27 PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL

JUDGMENT - 5

1	Steven M. Tindall, CSB #187862 Email: steventindall@rhdtlaw.com
2	RUKIN HYLAND DORIA & TINDALL LL
3	100 Pine Street, Suite 2150 San Francisco, California 94111
4	Telephone: (415) 421-1800 Facsimile: (415) 421-1700
5	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs
6	
7	Copy Received; Approved as to Form;
8	Notice of Presentation Waived
9.	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
10	·
11	By: Roy Brisbois, CSB 53222
12	Email: brisbois@lbbslaw.com
13	Eric Kizirian, CSB 210584 E-Mail: kizirian@lbbslaw.com
14	221 N Figueroa Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012-2601
15	Telephone: (213) 250-1800 Facsimile: (213) 250-7900
16	
17	Attorneys for Defendant
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	,
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 6

PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL

JUDGMENT - 7

PROOF OF SERVICE LIST

1	11001 01 9======
2	Roy Brisbois, CSB 53222
3	Email: brisbois@lbbslaw.com Eric Kizirian, CSB 210584
4	E-Mail: kizirian@lbbslaw.com Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
5	221 N Figueroa Street, Ste. 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012-2601
6	Telephone: (213) 250-1800
7	Facsimile: (213) 250-7900
8	Attorneys for Defendant
9	Beth E. Terrell, CSB 178181 Email: bterrell@tmdwlaw.com
10	Jennifer Rust Murray, Admitted Pro Hac Vice
11	Email: jmurray@tmdwlaw.com TERRELL MARSHALL DAUDT & WILLIE PLLC
12	936 North 34th Street, Suite 400 Seattle, Washington 98103-8869
13	Telephone: (206) 816-6603
14	Facsimile: (206) 350-3528
15	Steven Berk, Admitted Pro Hac Vice Email: steven@berklaw.com
16	BERK LAW PLLC 2002 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 100
17	Washington, DC 20036
18	Telephone: (202) 232-7550 Facsimile: (202) 232-7556
19	Steven M. Tindall
20	Email: steventindall@rhdtlaw.com RUKIN HYLAND DORIA & TINDALL LLP
21	100 Pine Street, Suite 725
22	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 421-1800
23	Facsimile: (415) 421-1700
24	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
25	
26	
27	·
	PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT - 8